



**Central Engineering** 

# Diamond Shamrock Battleground Evaporator System

# **Perils of an Ancient Fortran IV Simulation**

Don Harvey Bob Fowler

March 13, 2025





Area View from the Battleship Texas













## The Scene of the Action— 1200 t/d 50% NaOH Plant



**Central Engineering** 



Diamond Shamrock's Battleground Plant in Houston, Texas. 5





# Management Concerns— Four Technical Objectives



- Determine Overall System Operability and Stability to Load & Set Point Changes & other Upsets
  - Confirm Corporate Investment—Failure was <u>not</u> an Option
- 2. Tune the Control System
- 3. Locate Bottlenecks
- 4. Find a Startup Method
  - No one had ever successfully started and operated such a complex plant
    - SS Operation was one Thing; dynamic Operation was totally unknown.
  - $\circ~$  Cell Liquor would be used to Charge all Effects:
    - It was the resulting Liquor from electrolyzing NaCl Solutions
      - a) Aqueous, approximately 10% NaCl, 10% NaOH
      - b) Very different from SS Compositions in the Effects
      - c) Desired Product 50% NaOH Aqueous Solution



# Who We Were in 1973



- Dr. Don Harvey was an Assoc. Prof. of Chemical Engineering at CSU
  - His Specialty was Thermo but He taught a Course in Dynamic Simulation
  - He had met our Central Engineering Process Manager at a local Section AIChE Meeting and a Marriage was born!
  - o Don was our Lead Engineer
- 🔹 I started full Time at Diamond Shamrock on April Fool's Day, 1973. 😂
  - My graduate Work had been in Biochemical Engineering and Catalysis
  - The word Simulation (with IBM's CSMP) had appeared in my Resume but
  - I really had 0 Experience with *creating* simulation Software. However, ...
    - a) Simulation was in its infancy
    - b) No comprehensive canned Software available for Dynamic Modeling
    - c) No one Else available either, soooo



# We Got the Job!



- Fantastic learning experience for me!
  - Essentially did a post-Doc under Don.
  - He is a Superb Engineer, Mentor and Friend!



- We developed a wonderful working Relationship and Friendship.
  - He had a little Experience with Simulation but a LOT with fundamental Chemical Engineering.
  - I knew a Little about the Operation of IBM Mainframes to go with my basic ChE education but very Little about creating Simulations.
  - We complemented each Other very well.



# The Schedule



- The plant had been designed by Zaremba, Inc. of Buffalo, NY in the 60's
  - Quad Design thought to be very Energy efficient
- McKee Engineering (then a Stone's throw away from here over I77) was overseeing Construction in 1973 when we started
- Startup was scheduled for about Thanksgiving, 1974
- It was April, 1973, so we had about 1½ years to:
  - Write all Software from Scratch including all of the Simulator's Hardware and Physical Property Subroutines
  - Debug the Software
  - Get It to run dependably on an IBM Mainframe including
    - Finding reliable Convergence and Integration Methods
  - Confirm the Numbers on the P&ID ...
  - Meet all those Requirements set forth by Management





# **Simulator Creation**



## The Givens



- **1. P&ID** with <u>SS</u> Conditions showing:
  - i. Flow Rates
  - ii. Compositions
  - iii. Temperatures
- 2. Physical Model of the Plant at LaPorte
  - i. Measured line lengths, number/type of fittings, etc. from this model
- 3. Centrifugal Transfer Pump Curves
  - i.  $\Delta P$ -Flow rate relationships with impeller diameters as parameters
- **4.** Control Valve Coefficients ( $C_v$ ) as Functions of Valve Stem Positions
- **5. Roger Franks' Text**, *Modeling and Simulation in Chemical Engineering*, with fundamental software
- 6. All Unit Ops and other Basic Expressions and Relationships
- **7. Physical Properties** (ρ, solubilities, BP's, P°, h's [single & multi φ, water])



## **Software Tools**



- 1. Fortran II transitioned later to IV
- 2. Various curve fitting Techniques--Sometimes involved use of Paper Tape Input (!) to offline Computers
- 3. Minimal Experience with Numerical Methods but learned fast!
- 4. Wegstein and/or Half-Section for convergence
  - <u>Many</u> convergence Problems (steep Curves) from the start, so...
    - Transitioned to the slower Half Section Method if crazy Solution
    - Always Wegstein when Stability returned
    - Eventually developed Bounded Wegstein
- Eventually used Newton-Raphson simultaneous multi-Variable Convergence with Numerical Estimation of the Jacobian for Effect Pressures







- 6. Integration by Euler or 4<sup>th</sup> order Runge-Kutta
  - Reverted to RK4 exclusively as time went on
  - Systems were Invariably *Stiff* necessitating small Time Steps
    - Caused by subsystems with very different Time Constants
    - Time Step was increased with decreasing Integration Error Estimate
  - Ended up being very Reliable



# **Creating the Simulator**



**Central Engineering** 

Model Required Creation of Subroutines in Fortran IV for

- Physical Properties of all Process Materials (via Curve Fits)
  - Steam Tables
  - Solution Densities as a Function of Concentration
  - Solution Boiling Points
  - Solubilities (Ternary System Isotherms as Functions of Concentration)
  - Liquor Enthalpies as Functions of Concentrations and Temperature
- Pump and Valve Coefficient Curves
- PI and PID controllers (Franks offered introductory Examples)
- Flash Routines (given h and Ρ, calculate Τ, φ's and Compositions)
- All kinds of other Utility Routines (e.g., Mix, Split, Pumps, etc.)
- Software to model System Flow Rates using "Electrical Analogy"



#### Bernoulli Equation:

 $\Delta P_p = (P_2 - P_1) + (\rho_g/g_c)(h_2 - h_1) + \Delta P_f$ Defn. of Friction Factor, f:

$$\Delta P_{fl} = [v^2 \rho / 2g_c] \cdot [\Sigma L / D] \cdot f = R_l Q^2$$

(Flow-Head Relationships) <sub>Ce</sub>

**The Electrical Analogy** 



$$(\Delta P_p = Pump Pressure Rise)$$

(Line, Manual Valve & Fitting Losses; Q=Vol. Flow Rate)

Control Valve Losses:

 $\Delta P_{fv} = (Q/C_v)^2 \rho = R_v Q^2$ Total Line Friction Pressure Drop:

 $\Delta P_{f} = \Delta P_{fl} + \Delta P_{fv} = (R_{l} + R_{v})Q^{2}$ 

(Design: at least 35% of total ΔP<sub>f</sub> through Control Valve at SS)

Rearranging the Bernoulli Equation results in:

 $\Delta P_p - (P_2 - P_1) - (\rho_g/g_c)(h_2 - h_1) = Q^2(R_V + R_l)$  which is analogous to E = IR although Flow is squared. Kirchoff's Laws now apply.



# **Programming Considerations**



- 1. The simulator needed to thoroughly model inherently non-linear flow-head Relationships (Electrical Analogy) & superimposed Heat Flows.
- 2. Differential Variables (those with long Time Constants) were determined.
  - All other Variables were solved for algebraically
    - Saved Computer execution Time
    - Reasonable Approximation
- 3. Then Algebraic Equations are solved at Time 0 using Initial Conditions for Differential Variables
  - Differential Variables integrated to time t +  $\Delta t$
  - Differential Variables updated followed by algebraic Solution of all other System Equations
  - Differential Variables re-integrated; repeat Process to Time t<sub>final</sub>.







- 1. Integrate Differential Variables at Time  $t+\Delta t$ 
  - Controller Signals  $\rightarrow$  Control Valve Positions  $\rightarrow R_V$  (R<sub>l</sub>'s fixed)
  - Vessel volumes  $\rightarrow$  Vessel Levels (h<sub>i</sub>)
  - Overall Compositions & Enthalpies  $\rightarrow T_i$
- 2. Assume all Vessel Pressures
- 3. Solve all Heat and Material Balances Algebraically including
  - Steam Generation in each Vessel
  - Flow Rates between Vessels
- 4. Converge all Steam Pressures by comparing Steam Generated with Steam Consumed in next Vessel
  - Done individually at first
  - Later simultaneously via Newton Raphson





# **Running the Simulator**



# All Runs were Batch using Punched Cards



- **Central Engineering**
- Started a Batch run carrying Boxes of Cards from the 13<sup>th</sup> to the 12<sup>th</sup> Floor
  - Always hoping that we didn't drop Them!
  - $\circ~$  Had to read 2 Boxes in <u>every Time</u>
    - Read in Main Program & all Subroutines including Physical Properties
      Couldn't store ANYTHING on the Computer
- We submitted our Jobs into HASP's K, L or M Queues and waited
  - K, L & M Queues dedicated to Engineering
    - Increasingly large Slices of Physical Memory all the way up to 250K!
    - Always last Priority after Corporate Business Jobs
  - Virtual Memory not yet available
- Eventually our Runs required so much CPU Time that
  - We were forced to run Simulation Jobs at Night—One at a Time
  - Often negligible Results, so we waited until the next Night to run again.



### Hardware Tools



- Our hardware tools consisted of
  - An IBM system/360 44 (no Software Storage or Virtual Capabilities)
    - Eventually a 370/158 Virtual Machine became available
  - o IBM Cards
  - Card Punches
  - Card Readers
  - o Line Printer
- No CRT's or anything else modern but those above were State of the Art
- No debugging or automatic Disk allocation capabilities available 20



#### **Central Engineering**

#### **Tools of the Trade**

						01	BR	A	B	01	TK	1		-			1	P	0	1	50	H	A	YE						K	9	CI	ł		E	S,T	Y	0				1	5	'E	F	T	11	1 ·													
-	1 4	113			19	4	1.8	•	•	2.1	1 <u>11</u>	1	19	201	<u>n</u>		24	10	71	2	121	-	-	11	3	4.2	36	35			-	-		6		1.40	1 41	50 2	VI 5	2 33	54	55 1	<u>* 5</u>	-	-	-	1	63	64	<b>55 8</b>	4.63	60	89	0.7	11	23	14 2	1	11	82	5.8
						1	1			1	1	1						I	1											1								1				1	I																		
0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0 1	0 0	0	0	0	0	0				Ī	Γ	8	0	0	-	0	8		0	T	L			0	0	0	0	0	8	T		0	I				0	0	0	ij	0	I		1	T	Ĺ		Ī	L	1	T	I	1	T	Î
11	11	1	ì	1	1	Ē	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.	11	1	1	1	11	1	1		1	11		1	1	1	14	-	1	11	1	1	1.1	1	1	11	1	1	1	1	11	1	1	11	1	1	11	11	1	1	•7   1	15	1	11	1	1	82	
2 2	2 2	2	2	2 2	2	21	2	2		2 :	2	2	2	2	2	2 2	2	2	2 :	2 2	h	2	2	2	2 2	12	2	2	2	2	2	2 :	2 2	2	21	12	2	2	2 2	2	2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2 2	2 2	2	2	2 3	2 2	2	2	2.2	ż	2	2 2	2	2	2 2	
3 3	3 3	3	:	3 3	- 3	3	n 11 3 3	23	11 3	31			15 3	3	3	22	3	2 3	3	13	21	3	3	3	3	13		31	3	3 3	3	17.1	0 H 3 3	1	4 3	14	3	3	3 3	51	54	35 1	3 3	13	3		1 63	83	64	8 A 3 C	5 61	-	3	17	11 3	111	2.9	12.00	17	1 1	ĥ
		4			4				4			4	4	4																				I,			1				Ì																				
1		6			1	-	11 12	13	14	15.1			ñ	20	8	22	1	-	24	1	1	-	8	12 2	0			11		9.4	0	21	1) 44	45	46.0	1.0	-	50.5	1.5	53	M	15 1	-	- 14			1.62	111	-		10	-			12	12.1	4 .4 14 2	4	11	**	1
5:		2	3	::	2	2	5 5	3	2	2	2 3	0	3	2	5	2	3	5	21	2	5	5	5	5	10	, ,	2	2	2	5 5	5	5 :	5.5	5		5 5	P	5	5 5	5	5	51	1	1	5	5 5	5	5	5	5 5	5 5	5	5 :	5	5	5 5	5 5	5	5	5-5	1
61	6 6	6	8	6 6	8		6 6	6	6		5 6	6	6	6	6	6 6	6	6	ļ	6 6	6	6	6	6 6	5 6	5 6	6	6	6 1	6 6	6	51	6 6	8	6 1	5 6	6		6 6	6	6	6 1	6	6		6 6	6	6	6	6 6	6	6	6 (	6	6	6 6	6 6	6	6 1	5 6	į
1	11	1	1	11	1	1	11	1	1	1	17	7	7	7	1	1	1	i	1	11	7	1	1	7	11	17	1	1	1	11	7	7	11	1	1	17	1	7	17	1	7	1	1	1	1	17	1	7	7	11	17	1	1	1	1	1	11	7	1	17	
8 1	8 8	8 8	8	8 8	8	8	8 8	8	8	8	8 8	8	8	8	8	8 8	8	8	8 1	8.8	8	1	8		8 8	8 8	8	8	8 1	8 8	8	8 ]		8	8 1	8 8	8	8 1	8 8	8	8	8 1		8	8 1		8	8	8	8 8	8	8	8 8	8	8	8 8		8	8 8	8 8	
7	1 4	1 9	.5 9	1 1	- 9	10		9	14	91	6 II 9 9	9	19	30	1	17.7	9	2 4	9	0.8	19	20	9	94	13 N 9 / 9	1 9	× g	37 9	18 1 9 1	9.9	41	9	9.9	10	9 1	7.49 9.9	45	9 1	1 5	53	54 9	50 S		9	99.0	-	41	41	1 0	0.0	9	4	4 1	0	72	71 5	4.75	4	17 1	1 23	
2.		1	6	5	1,	1		6	14	12	d,	1	18	a	a.	2.2	1.1	ls.	а.		2	32	53	2,	11.5	4.25		D.	4		hi	42.4	114	la.	4.4	1 41	l.a	÷.	3.2	di,	Ň.	8	ds	.56	58.4	ale	2	63	üh	5.5	10.	2	6	11	22	20.0	475	2	a ; 17 ;	1 75	1













# Fun with JCL



**Central Engineering** 

- 1. Used to control Batch Runs and to create inflexible Disk Space "Data Sets"
- 2. Commands used with IBM Job Control Language (JCL):
  - a. JOB, EXEC, DD, ENDJOB.

b. Had to learn and extract JCL Routines from a Multi Volume Manual

3. Consequences of Use

a. Frequent Overruns of Results filled Data Sets and stopped Execution

- i. Needed to constantly delete and create new, larger Data Sets since *All* Commands had to be given to the Computer manually.
  - The Operating System wasn't designed to perform *any* of these Tasks on its own.

ii. Typical Data Set command: something like *Disp=(End, Catlg, Delete)* 

4. A CSU Grad Student, (now) Dr. Paul Husted, eventually aided us with JCL

5. IEFBR14—Drove us up a Wall!!















- Eventually the Program wouldn't fit into any Allocated Physical Computer Memory space
  - When this happened, Everything literally stopped.
- With the 360/44 had to learn to manually create "Overlay" Structures
  - Groups of Subroutines (specified by us) which the Computer swapped into and out of available Physical Memory as the Program ran
- Problems:
  - Had to basically regroup the Software—also drove us up a Wall!
    - Took substantial amounts of Time to set up
  - Values of Variables weren't kept as Routines were swapped in and out
    - Necessitated putting almost all Variables into Common Blocks
- Not an issue of course with Modern, Virtual Memory Computers







**Central Engineering** 

- *The* Major, very Time-consuming Issue
- Division by 0 was a constant Problem
- Problem Indicator: Hex Program Location Indicator (what's that?)
- Our Most Effective debugging Method
  - This Technique Indicated the *Proximity* of the Problem.

With such a large program, Don and I were sometimes so confused by the Nature of the Bug that, on a nice Day, we were known to go down to the little Shop on the ground Floor, buy a Bag of Doritos, go outside and walk around the Block sharing them to clear our heads!



#### **<u>THE</u> most Insidious Bug**





Call Subroutine A ( x, 2, y, z
Subroutine A ( x, i, y, z)
x = y + 2.
i = i + 1
z = 3. + y
Return
End
j = 2
Print "j = ", j
j = ?

- **REALLY** drove us to eat Doritos!!
- It took us **DAYS** to find this one.
- It's an Access Violation—not allowed by modern Computers





# Results







- Our sole Output was 132 character-wide printed Sheets
- Output Information from 5 Vessels included
  - o **Temperatures**
  - o Pressures
  - o Flow rates
  - Compositions
  - Slurry Concentrations
  - Liquid Levels
  - Control Valve Positions
- The Conference Room Happening!
- It was literally a Technical Luxury

	VESSAR HERE	HTS CI-SH VS. TIME		14
				+
1.59E JU 2.318 40	J.ON DO J. #5E DO 4.02E DU 5.	398 00 0.168 00 0.931 00 7.708 00 s+6 H	03 9+242 00 ¥118 ¥153	-*
0.0 ****************		***************************************	3" 48442E 00 #"00000E 00	1 1
	0, e		4-02946E 00 4-29930E 00	
1.444	DA E	5	4=05828E 00 4=31207E 00	
A MARKAN A	DA S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* 114035 00 4.315095 00	10
19141	04 6	2	4.1100/F 00 4.32310F 00	- 10
	NU & Z	2	4 14 21 4 C 00 4 23 214 00	
×	20 A 4	1	5-19203F 00 5-136368 00	
	BU AL	Č.	5,22363F 00 4,34035F 00	
	#0 AE		4,254836 00 4,344128 00	
10.0 +	- #************************************		+. 286288 00 +.347618 00	. 1
	a u At	5	4.317968 00 4.351148 00	1
	D U 4E		4.349848 00 4.354428 00	£ 14
	# Q. L	5-	4.38196E 00 4.35760E 00	6
		6	*. *1 * 3*E 00 4.30045E 00	5
			4,44678E E0 4,36335E D0	5 11
			4. 51 1455 00 4.165768 00	
		- -	A-367501 00 A-171001 00	1
			5-57203E 00 6-17216E 00	100
20.3	- ************************************		+- 56 306F 00 +-1755+F 00	
Contraction of the second second second			4. 535018 00 4. 177718 00	
	B 0 L R	5	*.51329E 00 4.37982E 00	
	B O EA	6	4.495235 00 4.381758 00	
	8 0 #A	£	4.47862E 00 4.3e358E 00	
	8 Q EA	6	4.46220E 00 4.305458 00	
	6 W 8A	5	4.44318E D0 4.38702E 00	1.12
		6	4,42727E 00 4,38875E 00	
	a 0 K	5	+.+D#21E 00 +.39032E 00	5
	B U B		9.39782E 00 4.39182E 00	5 5
3010			- 14 7831 AG + 184416 AG	
	A		5. 31 7841 00 5.39540F 00	
	n () A4	Ê.	4-29148-00 4-192014-00	
	L L A F		4.263968 GO 4.398248 00	1
	J U AL	c.	4.285328 06 4.199275 00	1
	U D A t	6	4.205528 88 4.403418 00	F
	H DA A	L	**114231 00 ***01445 00	6. 12
		5	*.142518 00 *.+02438 00	6
	4 UA 8	6	4.13541* 00 4.403350 00	6
ACAG RECEIPTION ADDRESS			a district of a socies of	5
			4.04027E 00 4.406634 00	
			4.507552 00 4.400376 00	1.1
	B But P	1	1.910205 00 0.000000 00	
	a Ali E		A-870118 00 \$-502921 00	
	2.4.6. 7		3.440854 00 4.400484 00	6 11
	44 W 8		3. 78340E 00 4.40408E 00	
	* 0 K	L.	1.725948 00 6.403482 00	£
	8 W E	Contraction and the second	3.66329E 00 4.40627E 00	£ 1
30.11	a design of the design of the design of the second s		second h addings do a addade as	







- Basic Software written relatively early on
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Kept adding to it as Time went on
- Except for minor Issues with our Software and Convergence and Integration Issues, the basic Simulator was complete by late 1973 or early 1974.
- First interested in
  - o Confirming the basic Design
  - Tuning the Control System
  - Confirming System Stability
- At this point, Problems which occurred with the Simulator's Performance were relatively mild.
- And then there were ....



# **Our Customers**



- Term includes HQ Divisional Engineers and all Plant Engineers
- Very skeptical of how these two Pointy Heads with their fancy Software and no in-plant Experience could assist Them in their real World.
  - VERY real Problem!!
  - During this initial Phase of Software Development, the Plant was still under Construction.
  - Often times we suggested Design Modifications
    - Especially with the Impeller Sizes of the Centrifugal Transfer Pumps
    - Our Model showed that they were invariably too small to accommodate even modest transient Conditions
  - Issues: (1) Every Surface exposed to the hot Caustic/Salt Solutions was made of expensive Nickel
    - (2) Larger Impellers more costly to operate.



# **Startup Simulation**



- Starting such complex Plant had never been attempted by Anyone Anywhere
- The First Step was to meet with the Customers, their Drawings and Grease Pencils.
  - They told us how They *would have* started the Plant—Step by Step.
  - We loaded their Conditions into our Simulator.
- Their Startup conditions:
  - Had to use Cell Liquor everywhere because higher Concentration Liquors had never been produced there
    - Apparently it was impractical to truck some of similar Concentrations (and Elevated Temperatures) in from Elsewhere
    - But using Cell Liquor everywhere was far removed from SS conditions
  - Customers insisted that Controller Settings not be Changed from SS
  - Primary Steam only—Steam for other effects unavailable initially. <sup>31</sup>



# Startup Simulation (II)



We entered the Customers' Suggested Startup Procedure into the Model

- Immediately encountered **MAJOR** Issues:
  - $\circ~$  Salt built up in the 1st Effect where there was no way to remove it.
  - Sluggish Control System was totally incapable of handling Startup Conditions in automatic.
    - So the plant would have to be started manually with the Control System eased back to automatic as the Effects approached steady state. Fairly easy to model with the Simulator.
  - Issues with the Levels in several Effects—especially the Third Effect, but we were able to *manually* control them all.
- Any of these would have stopped Operations in its Tracks.
- All these Issues were unanticipated but became obvious as the Customers thought about Them.



# **Startup Simulation (III)**



- Customers finally agreed that... WOW!! ...
  - These *were indeed* **major** Issues that they hadn't anticipated which would have cost them major Downtime to analyze, clean up and fix.
- Faith in our Simulator was in its Infancy but their Skepticism started to wane!
- Example of a Fix:
  - Totally unanticipated Water Line to the First Effect proved necessary to keep the Salt in Solution as the First Effect lined out.
    - Imagine: the Effects were designed to remove Water, and now we were deliberately adding Water to one of Them!
- We developed Guidelines for when Manual Control was to be initiated and then discontinued
- Even included a home-made PD controller.



# **Startup Simulation (IV)**



- The Customers had closely followed the Results of our Progress in creating a Workable Startup Process, solving Problem by Problem (usually one per night).
- Over time they realized our Model made sense and had uncovered a Myriad of unanticipated Process Issues.
  - They totally bought into our Results
  - No more Skepticism!!
  - Working together, we worked out Fixes for *every* Problem
    *before* Construction was complete and the actual Startup initiated
- In the End we created a complete, step-by-step Startup Hardcopy Manual which was issued to all interested parties.
- It became the "Startup Bible".







- After a Year and half of Development, Testing and Working with the Customers, we were all ready to go.
- During Startup Don and I stayed in Cleveland, close to the Computer just in case <sup>(i)</sup> (there was certainly no WWW in those Days!).
- We were on Long-distance<sup>1</sup> for the entire Afternoon and Evening of the first Train's Startup.
- All of the corporate big wigs, including the CEO, were present in the Control Room for the Startup holding their collective Breaths. I think.

<sup>1</sup>The expensive, old-fashioned type of Long-distance







**Central Engineering** 

The actual Sequence of Events <u>closely matched</u> those predicted by our "Startup Bible" as Startup commenced, buuut...

- The Level in the First Effect went off script and kept dropping.
- At one Point They reported to us that the Level in the Third Effect • was rising to dangerous Levels.
- Beyond that there was a Physical Problem with Gaskets in one of the Control Valves that delayed the Startup.
- But that was it.
- In the end, the Plant basically started in one Shift.
- Maybe Management breathed a Sign of Relief—but They didn't tell Us.
- But I did get a couple of Tickets to a Browns Game. 😁



# The Finale



- One day I had a chat with the Liaison Engineer for the responsible Operating Division, Diamond's ElectroChem Division:
- I casually mentioned to Him that we thought we had saved the Corporation a few million Bucks in Startup Costs (including negligible Downtime).
- The Guy looked at me and said, "Don't ever tell *Them* that!"
- I started to say Something, but He added "They think You saved Them \$60M" (1974)! —about \$400M today.
- The alleged DuPont Story.
- It was a wonderful Start to this Engineer's Career!
- Thanks for Everything Don!!
- And Thanks To Our Customers For Their Support!!!





#### And Thank You for Listening.

#### How about some Easy Questions?